
Comparison between the Fund Return and the Benchmark Return

Fund Performance Chart



Since the start date in the Provident Fund Scheme, cumulatively underperform benchmark by 20

The benchmark increased by 53.62% during 10/05/2010 to 31/12/2016 while the active fund – Schroder only rose by 74.05% during the same period.

The Fund has been in the Provident Fund Scheme since 10/05/2010, its cumulative performance is calculated net of the total annual fees of the Provident Fund Scheme.

Monthly performance in 2016	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	Мау	Jun	Jul
Benchmark	-5.98%	-0.74%	6.79%	1.58%	0.56%	-1.12%	4.22%
Active Fund – Schroder	-8.19%	-0.83%	5.78%	2.33%	1.08%	-3.29%	4.68%
Performance differences between the active fund and the benchmark (percentage points)	-2.21 🖤	-0.09 두	-1.01 두	0.75 🎼	0.52	-2.17 🗭	0.46
						YTD (31/12/2016)	
	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec		
Benchmark	Aug 0.08%	Sep 0.53%	Oct -1.94%	Nov 1.44%	Dec 2.39%	(31/12	
Benchmark Active Fund – Schroder						(31/12	/2016) 1%

Monthly performance in 2016

The fund performance is calculated net of the total annual fees of the Provident Fund Scheme.

Annual Performance

Annual Fertornance	10/05/2010*- 31/12/2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
Benchmark	12.29%	-5.54%	15.83%	26.68%	4.94%	-0.87%	7.51%
Active Fund – Schroder	16.03%	-14.14%	16.98%	25.59%	2.24%	0.18%	2.48%
Performance differences between the active fund and the benchmark (percentage points)	3.74	-8.60 🖤	1.15 🎼	-1.09 🖤	-2.70 🗭	1.05 🎼	-5.03 🖤

The fund performance is calculated net of the total annual fees of the Provident Fund Scheme.

* The Fund has been in the Provident Fund Scheme since 10/05/2010. Therefore, the return in 2010 only reflected the performance between 10/05/2010 and 31/12/2010.